UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,228	09/16/2003	Stephen J Brown	7553.00096 / 03-0920	2227
	7590 05/27/200 O NETWORK, INC.	9	EXAMINER	
2400 GENG RO	DAD, SUITE 200		FRENEL, VANEL	
PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3687	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/605,228	BROWN, STEPHEN J				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	VANEL FRENEL	3687				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ju</u>	ne 2008					
· <u> </u>						
<i>i</i>	/ 					
,— · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-92</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-92</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) \(\sum \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \(\sum \) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) \(\sum \) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/605,228 Page 2

Art Unit: 3687

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to the Remarks filed on 06/09/08. Claims 1-92 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fu et al. (4,803,625) in view of Lee (4,838,275).

As per claim 1, Fu discloses a networked health-monitoring system comprising: a plurality of remote patient sites, each site including at least one display (See Fu, Fig.2; Col.5, lines 53-68); a data management unit configured to facilitate collection of patient health-related data (See Fu, Col.3, lines 28-45); a memory (See Fu, Col.3, lines 28-45); and stored program instructions for generating health-monitoring related information on the display (See Fu, Fig.2; Col.3, lines 28-45).

Fu does not explicitly disclose at least one central server connectable for communication with the data management units at the patient sites, wherein the system is configured to allow a patient at a remote patient site to control the display of healthmonitoring related information on the display.

However, this feature is known in the art, as evidenced by Lee. In particular, Lee suggests that the system has at least one central server connectable for communication with the data management units at the patient sites, wherein the system is configured to allow a patient at a remote patient site to control the display of health-monitoring related information on the display (See Lee, Col.5, lines 64-68 to Col.6, line 25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the feature of Lee within the system Fu with the motivation of receiving the results of all such emergency measurements by remote data transmission into central office and displaying the results of the emergency measurements for emergency review by the observer (See Lee, Col.7, line 15-19).

As per claim 2, Fu discloses the system, further configured to allow the patient to control the display of health-monitoring related information using at least one menu (See Fu, Col.5, lines 6-15; Col.10, lines 1-14).

As per claim 3, Fu discloses the system, wherein the menu allows a patient to select any one operational mode from the set consisting of: a display mode for displaying relevant information (See Fu, Col.5, lines 53-68); an input mode for providing information (See Fu, Col.5, lines 53-68); and a communications mode for establishing a link with the central server (See Fu, Col.1, lines 43-64).

Art Unit: 3687

As per claim 4, Fu discloses the system further comprising at least one health-monitoring device configured to monitor at least one patient health condition at least one remote patient site (See Fu, Col.5, lines 53-68); and to communicate data related to the monitored condition to the central server (See Fu, Col.1, lines 43-64).

As per claim 5, Fu discloses the system wherein the menu allows a patient to select a monitoring mode in which at least one of the health-monitoring devices is used (See Fu, Col.5, lines 1-15; Col.6, lines 46-54).

As per claim 6, Lee discloses the system wherein the menu allows a patient to display messages or instructions from a health care professional (See Lee, Col.16, lines 39-43).

As per claim 7, Lee discloses the system, wherein the system is configured to enable the patient to respond to information on the display by using a cursor or other indicator positioned at a selected item (See Lee, Col.13, lines 5-16).

As per claim 8, Fu discloses the system wherein at least one of the health-monitoring devices is one or more of the set consisting of a blood glucose monitor; a peak flow meter; a blood pressure monitor; a pulse monitor; and a body temperature monitor (See Fu, Col.5, lines 7-14).

As per claim 9, Lee discloses the system wherein the data management unit facilitates collection of health-related data by receiving data related to the monitored condition from at least one of the health-monitoring devices (See Lee, Col.5, lines 64-68 to Col.6, line 25).

Page 5

As per claim 10, Fu discloses the system wherein the data management unit is configured to facilitate collection of health-related data entered by a patient at the remote patient site using buttons, keys or switches (See Fu, Col.2, lines 16-43).

As per claim 11, Fu discloses the system wherein the data management unit is physically separate from the display (See Fu, Col.6, lines 46-54).

As per claim 12, Fu discloses the system wherein the memory and the display are in at least one of the health-monitoring devices (See Fu, Col.5, lines 1-15).

As per claim 13, Fu discloses the system wherein the display is in a handheld device (See Fu, Col.11, lines 24-34).

As per claim 14, Fu discloses the system, wherein the handheld device is capable of displaying pictorial health-monitoring related information (See Fu, Col.5, lines 1-15).

Art Unit: 3687

As per claim 15, Fu discloses the system wherein the handheld device is capable of displaying animated health-monitoring related information (See Fu, Col.5, lines 1-15).

As per claim 16, Lee discloses the system wherein the memory is a program cartridge (See Lee, Col.11, lines 49-63).

As per claim 17, Fu discloses the system wherein the remote sites further include at least one personal computer connected to the data management unit (See Fu, Col.10, lines 1-14 and lines 28-61).

As per claim 18, Lee discloses the system wherein the system produces reports based on collected patient health-related data (See Lee, Col. 16, lines 39-43).

As per claim 19, Lee discloses the system wherein the reports are standardized (See Lee, Col.13, lines 5-15).

As per claim 20, Fu discloses the system further configured to provide at least one health care professional, remotely located from the patient sties, with reports based on the patient health-related data collected at the remote patient sites (See Fu, Col.5, lines 15-38).

As per claim 21, Lee discloses the system wherein the system is configured to allow a health care professional to select which of a plurality of standardized reports is produced (See Lee, Col.13, lines 5-15).

As per claim 22, Lee discloses the system wherein the reports use graphs and/or icons (See Lee, Col.13, lines 5-16).

As per claim 23, Fu discloses the system wherein the reports can be generated periodically (See Fu, Col.5, lines 19-41).

As per claim 24, Lee discloses the system wherein the server can generate the report (See Lee, Col.13, lines 5-15).

As per claim 25, Fu discloses the system wherein the system can also display at least one report on a display at a remote patient site (See Fu, Col.4, lines 25-43).

As per claim 26, Lee discloses the system wherein the system can display statistical and/or trend information (See Lee, Col.13, lines 12-17).

As per claim 27, Lee discloses the system wherein the system can display statistical or trend information to the patient (See Lee, Col.13, lines 12-17).

As per claim 28, Fu discloses the system wherein the report includes information data for a period of time (See Fu, Col.13, lines 5-16).

As per claim 29, Fu discloses the system wherein the system is configured to transmit at least one message for display on at least one display (See Fu, Col.6, lines 46-54).

As per claim 30, Fu discloses the system wherein the message includes step-by-step instructions (See Fu, Col.10, lines 1-14 and lines 28-61).

As per claim 31, Lee discloses the system wherein the message includes results of a test (See Lee, Col.16, lines 39-43).

As per claim 32, Lee discloses the system wherein the message includes diagnostic information indicating whether a test has proceeded in a normal fashion (See Lee, Col.16, lines 39-43).

As per claim 33, Lee discloses the system wherein the message is a multiline message (See Lee, Col.16, lines 39-43).

As per claim 34, Lee discloses the system wherein the message is a health care professional selected message (See Lee, Col.16, lines 39-43).

As per claim 35, Lee discloses the system wherein the healthcare professional generates the selected message (See Lee, Col.16, lines 39-43).

As per claim 37, Fu discloses the system wherein the system is configured to transmit a message to a specific patient (See Fu, Col.5, lines 53-68).

As per claim 38, Fu discloses the system wherein the system is configured to transmit the message automatically to the patient (See Fu, Col.5, lines 53-68).

As per claim 39, Fu discloses the system, wherein the system is configured to transmit the message to the patient when the patient chooses (See Fu, Col.5, lines 53-68).

As per claim 40, Lee discloses the system wherein the message can be stored before being transmitted to the patient (See Lee, Col.5, lines 53-68).

As per claim 41, Fu discloses the system wherein the system is configured to transmit programs, over the communication link, for storage in a memory and execution at a remote patient site (See Fu, Col.5, lines 53-68; Col.6, lines 52-57).

Art Unit: 3687

As per claim 42, Lee discloses the system wherein the patient can indicate user experienced symptoms to the system (See Lee, Col.16, lines 39-43).

As per claim 43, Lee discloses the system wherein the system can capture quantitative measurements (See Lee, Col.16, lines 39-43).

As per claim 44, Lee discloses the system wherein the system can capture medication data (See Lee, Col.16, lines 39-43).

As per claim 45, Lee discloses the system wherein the collected patient health-related data includes time data (See Lee, Col.16, lines 39-43).

As per claim 46, Fu discloses the system wherein the healthcare profes sional computer receives the report after transmitting an authorization code to the server that identifies an associated healthcare professional as an authorized user (See Fu, Col.5, lines 44-62).

Claims 47-92 recite the same limitations as those of claims 1-46 are therefore rejected for the same reasons given above, and incorporated herein.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited but not the applied art teaches health support system (5,390, 238).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VANEL FRENEL whose telephone number is (571)272-6769. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Gart can be reached on 571-272-3955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vanel Frenel/ Examiner, Art Unit 3687 March 2, 2009 Application/Control Number: 10/605,228

Page 12

Art Unit: 3687